# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS McALLEN DIVISION

CLAUDIO MACIAS,	§		
	§		
Plaintiff,	§		
	§		
v.	§	Civil Action No	
	§		
GREATWIDE DALLAS MAVIS, LLC	§		
d/b/a GREATWIDE DALLAS MAVIS,	§		
and PAUL TARKINGTON,	S		
·	§		
Defendants.	Š		

# INDEX OF EXHIBITS TO NOTICE OF REMOVAL

Index to Notice of Removal (this document)
Civil Docket Sheet, Cause No. C-3051-13-D, <i>Macias v. Greatwide Dallas Mavis, LLC, et al.</i> , in the 206th District Court for Hidalgo County, Texas
Citation and Plaintiff's Original Petition, Cause No. C-3051-13-D, <i>Macias v. Greatwide Dallas Mavis, LLC, et al.</i> , in the 206th District Court for Hidalgo County, Texas
List of all counsel of record
Defendant's Notice of Removal, <i>Macias v. Greatwide Dallas Mavis</i> , <i>LLC</i> , <i>et al.</i> , in the 206th District Court for Hidalgo County, Texas, filed June 12, 2013

**Exhibit F** Civil Cover Sheet

4851-4363-5988, v. 1

Skip to Main Content Logout My Account Search Menu New Civil Search Refine Search Back

Location : All Courts Help

#### REGISTER OF ACTIONS CASE No. C-3051-13-D

CLAUDIO MACIAS VS. GREATWIDE DALLAS MAVIS, LLC D/B/A **GREATWIDE DALLAS MAVIS AND PAUL TARKENTON** 

Contract - Other Contract Case Type:

Attorneys

(OCA) Date Filed: 05/24/2013

Location: 206th District Court

PARTY INFORMATION

Defendant

GREATWIDE DALLAS MAVIS, LLC D/B/A

**GREATWIDE DALLAS MAVIS** 

Defendant

TARKENTON, PAUL

Plaintiff

MACIAS, CLAUDIO

H. Peyton Inge, IV Retained

214-905-2003(W)

**EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT** 

OTHER EVENTS AND HEARINGS

05/24/2013 05/30/2013

Original Petition (OCA)

Citation

Mailed to Atty. for private service.

GREATWIDE DALLAS MAVIS, LLC D/B/A

GREATWIDE DALLAS MAVIS

TARKENTON, PAUL

Unserved

Unserved

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Plaintiff MACIAS, CLAUDIO

Total Financial Assessment

Total Payments and Credits

Balance Due as of 06/11/2013

05/28/2013 Transaction Assessment

05/28/2013 Transaction Assessment

05/29/2013 Transaction Assessment

05/29/2013 Payment Receipt # DC-2013-12471

Inge, H. Peyton, IV

237.00 46.00 14.00

297.00

297.00

0.00

(297.00)



# C-3051-13-D 206TH DISTRICT COURT, HIDALGO COUNTY, TEXAS

### CITATION

#### STATE OF TEXAS

10:17A.M

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: You have been sued. You may employ an attorney. If you or your attorney do not file a written answer with the clerk who issued this citation by 10:00 a.m. on the Monday next following the expiration of twenty (20) days after you were served with this citation and petition, a default judgment may be taken against you.

GREATWIDE DALLAS MAVIS, LLC D/B/A GREATWIDE DALLAS MAVIS BY SERVING ITS REGISTERED AGENT: CT CORPORATION SYSTEM 350 N. ST. PAUL STREET., SUITE 2900 DALLAS, TX 75201

You are hereby commanded to appear by filing a written answer to the PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION on or before 10:00 o'clock a.m. on the Monday next after the expiration of twenty (20) days after the date of service hereof, before the Honorable Rose G. Reyna, 206th District Court of Hidalgo County, Texas at the Courthouse at 100 North Closner, Edinburg, Texas 78539.

Said petition was filed on the on this the 24th day of May, 2013 and a copy of same accompanies this citation. The file number and style of said suit being C-3051-13-D,

# CLAUDIO MACIAS VS. GREATWIDE DALLAS MAVIS, LLC D/B/A GREATWIDE DALLAS MAVIS AND PAUL TARKENTON

Said Petition was filed in said court by: Atty. H. Peyton Inge, IV, 2777 N. Stemmons FWY., STE 1157 Dallas, TX 75207.

The nature of the demand is fully shown by a true and correct copy of the petition accompanying this citation and made a part hereof.

The officer executing this writ shall promptly serve the same according to requirements of law, and the mandates thereof, and make due return as the law directs.

ISSUED AND GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL of said Court at Edinburg, Texas on this the 30th day of May, 2013.

LAURA HINÒJOSA, DISTRICT CLERK HIDALGO COUNTY, TEXAS

SELENE RINCON, DEPUTY CLERK

# C-3051-13-D OFFICER'S RETURN

Came to hand on _	of	, 20	at o	clockm.	and executed in	
County, Texas by which I endorsed t	delivering to each o he date of delivery	f the within to said Defe	named Defendan	t in person, a t	rue copy of this c	itation, upon
the following times	and places, to-wit:		•			
NAME	DATE	TIME	PLACE			
		<u> </u>				
And not executed	as to the defendar	ıt,			the dilige	ence used in
And not executed finding said defend	lant, being:		and the	cause of failt	ire to execute thi	s process is:
	and the I actuall	miormanon	received as to	me whereston	its of said deten	dam' nems:
addition to any oth same trip.	er mileage I may h	ave traveled	l in the service o	f other process	in the same cas	e during the
sume urp.	•			-		
Fees: serving co	opy(s) \$ \$	- ·			ŕ	
DEPUTY		<b></b>		٠.		-
	COMPLETE IF Y		ERSON OTHE CLERK OF TH		HERIFF,	
In accordance to Ru					to serve a citatio	n must sign
the return. If the re	turn is signed by a	person other	than a sheriff, co	onstable or the	clerk of the cour	t, the return
must either be verificontain the statemer	it below in substant	ially the foll	owing form:		•	
"My name isis			, my date of	birth is	and	the address
is		and	I declare under p	enalty of perju	ry that the foreg	oing is true
and correct.				•		
EXECUTED in	County, State	of Texas, o	n the day o	of	, 201	
Declarant"						
If Certified by the S	Supreme Court of	Texas				
Data of Evnivation	-					

CAUSE NO. <u>C-3057-13-</u>D

DRIGINAL Filed 13 May 24 P2:16 Laura Hinolosa District Clerk Hidalgo District

**CLAUDIO MACIAS** 

PLAINTIFF

VS.

GREATWIDE DALLAS MAVIS, LLC D/B/A GREATWIDE DALLAS MAVIS, AND PAUL TARKENTON

DEFENDANT

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

206 JUDICIAL DISTRICT

HIDALGO COUNTY, TEXAS

# PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION

aaaaaaaaaaaa

Claudio Macias, Plaintiff in the above-styled and numbered cause, files this Original Petition, and would respectfully show:

#### I. PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff Claudio Macias ("Macias") is a Mexican national. Macias may be contacted through the undersigned, his counsel of record.
- 2. Defendant Greatwide Dallas Mavis, LLC d/b/a Greatwide Dallas Mavis ("Greatwide" or "Defendant") is a Delaware limited liability company registered to conduct business in the State of Texas with its principal place of business at 12404 Park Central Drive, Suite 300S, Dallas, Dallas County, Texas 75251 and, pursuant to §5.201 of the Texas Business Organizations Code, may be served by and though its registered agent CT Corporation System at 350 N. St. Paul Street, Suite 2900, Dallas, Dallas County, Texas 75201. ISSUANCE OF CITATION IS REQUESTED.
- 3. Defendant Paul Tarkenton ("Tarkenton") resides at 4358 Rebekah Dr., Olive Branch, Mississippi, 38654. Tarkenton may be served by mailing a citation via US mail, teturn receipt requested, to 4358 Rebekah Dr., Olive Branch, Mississippi, 38654. ISSUANCE OF CITATION IS REQUESTED.

PLAINTIPP'S ORIGINAL PRITTION - PAGE 1

#### II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. Venue is proper in this District Court of Hidalgo County because Defendant breached the contract at issue in Hidalgo County, Texas, where Macias owns and operates a business as sole proprietor. The Court has jurisdiction of Plaintiff's claims as the amount in controversy meets or exceeds the minimum jurisdictional limits of this Court.
- 5. Venue is also proper in this Court pursuant to 49 U.S.C.A. §14706(d), because Greatwide is a motor carrier and operates in Texas.
- 6. The Court has jurisdiction over this matter because the amount in controversy exceeds the minimum jurisdictional limits of the Court and pursuant to 49 U.S.C.A. §14706(d).

### III. FACTS

- 7. Macins purchased a used 2005 Caterpillar 730 Atticulated Dump Truck in a distress sale auction in 2011. Greatwide represented that it was extremely capable of hauling the Caterpillar safely from Georgia to Pharr, Texas, on behalf of Macins. Macins agreed to allow Greatwide to transport the Caterpillar in exchange for payment.
- 8. Upon information and belief, Greatwide is an interstate motor carrier and subject to the strict liability provisions associated therewith, and as provided by 49 U.S.C.A. §14706 et seq.
- 9. In late March 2011, Grentwide's driver, Tarkenton, loaded the Caterpillar and began his route to Pharr, Texas. Tarkenton failed to issue a receipt or bill of lading. Upon information and belief, the Caterpillar was in good condition when picked up by Greatwide and Tarkenton for transport.
- 10. Nevertheless, on or about April 1, 2011, the tractor-trailer hauling the Catespillar became stuck on a railroad track in Douglasville, Georgia. Greatwide's driver illegally attempted to drive over the railroad track in direct contravention of traffic ordinances governing the intersection with the railroad track. The local police cited Tarkenton for truck route violation and non-

PLAINTIPESORIGINAL PETITION - PAGE 2

obedience of traffic control devices. Shortly after Greatwide's tractor-trailer got stuck, an oncoming train was unable to come to a stop and barreled into the tractor-trailer, smashing the trailer holding the Caterpillar and causing the Caterpillar to tumble off of the trailer, which resulted in great cost and damage to the Caterpillar.

- 11. Upon information and belief, the Caterpillar sustained significant damage. Greatwide refused to pay Macias the dimunition in value of the Caterpillar caused by Greatwide's negligence, and refused to pay the replacement value of the Caterpillar.
- 12. As a result of the damage suffered by the Caterpillar, Macias did incur actual damages that were reasonably forescenble by Greatwide. Said damages include, but are not limited to loss of use of the Caterpillar, attorneys' fees, loss of business income, and remedial damages.
- 13. Macias continues to incur damages arising from the loss of use of the Caterpillar because Greatwide knowingly continues to refuses to pay necessary sums to either replace the Caterpillar or repair it to its pre-damaged condition.

# IV. Causes of Action against Greatwide and tarkenton Count 1 - 49 U.S.C.A. \$14706 et seq.

- 14. Macias re-alleges and incorporates by reference the facts and allegations set forth above as if they were fully set forth herein.
- 15. Greatwide is a motor carrier within the meaning of 49 U.S.C.A. §14706 et seq. Specifically, Greatwide is an interstate carrier and subject to the Department of Transportation's authority.
- The Caterpillar was in good condition when delivered to Greatwide. Upon information and belief, the Caterpillar was valued at or above \$150,000.00.
- 17. Greatwide did not issue a bill of lading to Macias or otherwise effectively restrict its liability for the Caterpillar.

- 18. Greatwide is strictly liable for any damage done to the Caterpillar by Tarkenton while in the custody of Greatwide pursuant to the express terms of 49 U.S.C.A. §14706.
- 19. Greatwide delivered the Caterpillar in a severely damaged condition. Upon information and belief, the Caterpillar is damaged beyond repair.
- 20. Macias' broker, Pyramid, transmitted a letter to Greatwide that notified Greatwide of the damage claim, among other claims, on September 8, 2011.
- 21. Greatwide refused to pay sums necessary to repair the Caterpillar to its pre-damaged condition, which, in all likelihood, would exceed the replacement cost of the Caterpillar. Greatwide similarly refused to pay sums necessary to replace the Caterpillar.
- 22. Greatwide failed to acknowledge some or all of Plaintiff's' claims as required by 49 C.P.R. \$370.5. Further, Greatwide failed to decline, pay, or offer a written settlement compromise for some or all of the claims as required by 49 C.F.R. \$370.9(a).
- 23. As a result of the damage suffered by the Caterpillar while in the custody of Greatwide, Macias has incurred damages that were reasonably foreseeable to Greatwide at the time the Caterpillar was delivered to Greatwide. Those damages include, but are not limited to, loss of use, lost business opportunities, and the costs of storing the Caterpillar while in its damaged condition.
- 24. Greatwide's knowing refusal to pay sums necessary to repair and/or replace the Caterpillar caused Macias to incur reasonable and necessary attorneys' fees in the prosecution of this suit.

# COUNT 2 - BREACH OF CONTRACT

25. Greatwide agreed to transport Macias' Caterpillar to Phart, Texas, in Hidalgo County, Texas, where Macias maintains his place of business. Greatwide bargained for the opportunity to provide transportation services on behalf of Macias in exchange for payment.

Greatwide also agreed to transport the Caterpillar without causing damage during transport. Greatwide employed Tarkenton to physically transport the Caterpillar on behalf of Macias and Greatwide. Greatwide wholly failed to deliver the Caterpillar, and failed to deliver the Caterpillar in undamaged condition.

26. Macias' broker, Pyramid, ultimately transported the Caterpillar to Hidalgo County, Texas. While the Caterpillar was in Hidalgo County, Greatwide breached the contract by refusing to pay for the Caterpillar's diminution in value, or pay to repair the Caterpillar, as is their contractual obligation pursuant to express federal law. Greatwide also refused, in bad faith, to pay Macias the diminution in the Caterpillar's value and failed to pay Macias sufficient sums to repair or replace the Caterpillar. Macias suffered damages, including but not limited to, loss of income, loss of use, attorneys' fees, actual damages, and nominal damages.

### COUNT 3 - ATTORNEYS' FEES

- 27. Macias retained the undersigned attorneys to prosecute this lawsuit. Macias has incurred and will continue to incur attorney's fees which are recoverable pursuant to the plain language of 49 U.S.C.A. §14704(e). Attorneys's fees are also recoverable under 38.001, et seq.
- 28. Greatwide's failure to deliver the Caterpillar at issue in this action constitutes a violation of 49 U.S.C.A. §14706.
- 29. Greatwide's failure to acknowledge some or all of Plaintiff's claims constitutes a violation of 49 C.F.R. §370.5.
- 30. Greatwide's failure to decline or offer a written settlement compromise for some or all of Plaintiff's' claims constitutes a violation of 49 C.F.R. §370.9(a).
- 31. As a result of Greatwide's breach of the carriage contract, under 49 U.S.C.A. §14706, and Greatwide's violations of the federal claim regulations at 49 C.F.R. Part 370 (49 C.F.R. §§ 370.1 et seq.), Plaintiff is entitled to an award of reasonable attorney's fees under 49 U.S.C.A. §14704(e).

#### V. DAMAGES

- 32. Macias incorporates by reference the facts and allegations set forth above as if they were fully set forth herein.
- 33. Macins has been damaged in an amount in excess of the minimal jurisdictional limits of this Court, for which Macins sucs Greatwide. As a direct and proximate result of Greatwide's conduct, Macins suffered, will continue to suffer, and seek recovery for the following damages:
  - a) Actual damages in the amount of \$150,000.00, or the replacement value of the Caterpillar, whichever is less;
  - h) Actual damages atising out of the loss of use of the Caterpillar, including lost business opportunities;
  - Actual damages necessary to replace the Caterpillar with a non-damaged unit to continue with business operations.
  - d) All taxable costs of Court;
  - c) Reasonable and necessary attorneys' fees;
  - e) Consequential damages; and
  - f) Pre-judgment and post-judgment interest.

#### X. JURY DEMAND

34. Macias respectfully demands a trial by jury and submitted the appropriate jury fee in State Court.

#### XI. PRAYER

Macins respectfully pray that the Defendants be cited to appear, and that after a jury trial on the merits, the Court enter an award in favor of Plaintiff Claudio Macins for the damages described above. Macins prays for all other relief to which he may show himself to be justly entitled as a matter of law.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ H. Peyton Inge IV
H. PEYTON INGE IV
State Bar No. 24053779

CHAMBLEE, RYAN, KERSHAW & ANDERSON, P.C. 2777 N. Stemmons Freeway, Suite 1157 Dallas, Texas 75207 (214) 905-2003 (214) 905-1213 (Facsimile)

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS McALLEN DIVISION

Plaintiff, §	
Plaintiff, §	
$oldsymbol{\S}$	
v. § Civil Action No	
<b>§</b>	
GREATWIDE DALLAS MAVIS, LLC §	•
d/b/a GREATWIDE DALLAS MAVIS, §	
and PAUL TARKINGTON, §	
<b>§</b>	
Defendants. §	

# LIST OF COUNSEL OF RECORD

H. Peyton Inge, IV
 Texas State Bar No. 24053779
 Chamblee, Ryan, Kershaw & Anderson, PC 2777 Stemmons Freeway, Ste. 1157
 Dallas, TX 75207

PH: (214) 905-2003 FX: (214) 905-1213

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Claudio Macias

John W. Greene
 Texas State Bar No. 08391520
 Scopelitis, Garvin, Light,
 Hanson & Feary, P.C.
 801 Cherry St., Suite 1075
 Fort Worth, TX 76102
 PH: (817) 869-1700

PH: (817) 869-1700 FX: (817) 878-9472

Attorneys for Defendants, Greatwide Dallas Mavis, LLC d/b/a Greatwide Dallas Mavis and Paul Tarkington

## NO. C-3051-13-D

CLAUDIO MACIAS,	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
	\$	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	
	§	206th JUDICIAL DISTRICT
GREATWIDE DALLAS MAVIS, LLC	§	
d/b/a GREATWIDE DALLAS MAVIS,	§	•
and PAUL TARKINGTON,	§	
	§.	·
Defendants.	§	HIDALGO COUNTY, TEXAS

# **NOTICE OF FILING FOR REMOVAL**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Defendants, Greatwide Dallas Mavis, LLC d/b/a Greatwide Dallas Mavis ("Greatwide Dallas Mavis") and Paul Tarkington have filed a Notice of Removal with the Clerk of the United States District Court, Southern District of Texas, on June 11, 2012. A copy of the Notice of Removal is attached hereto as *Exhibit A*. All further proceedings with respect to this action shall be before the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ John W. Greene
John W. Greene
State Bar No. 08391520
Scopelitis, Garvin, Light,
Hanson & Feary, P.C.
801 Cherry St., Suite 1075
Fort Worth, TX 76102
PH: 817 869 1700
FX: 817 878 9472

Attorneys for Defendants, Greatwide Dallas Mavis, LLC d/b/a Greatwide Dallas Mavis and Paul Tarkington

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing was served upon the following party by facsimile on June 12, 2013:

H. Peyton Inge, IV Chamblee, Ryan, Kershaw & Anderson, PC 2777 Stemmons Freeway, Ste. 1157 Dallas, TX 75207

> /s/ John W. Greene John W. Greene

4840-2650-9076, v. 2

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

# **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
Claudio Macias				Greatwide Dallas Mavis, LLC d/b/a Greatwide Dallas Mavis and Pal					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Unknown (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			<u>-</u>	Tarkington  County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Dallas County  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, H. Peyton Inge, IV / Ch 2777 Stemmons Freewa (214) 905-2003	amblee, Ryan, Kersh			Attorneys (If Known) John W. Greene / 801 Cherry St., St (817) 869-1700	Scopeliti	s, Garvin, Ligh	t, Hanson &	Feary,	P.Ċ.
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	AL PARTIES			
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff					TF DEF	Incorporated or Pri		or Defend PTF ① 4	DEF
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizens	hip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	12 🗇 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		□ 5	<b>5</b>
				en or Subject of a reign Country	(3 🗇 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT					.17				
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment ∞ Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise □ 196 Franchise □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	□ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice □ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities Other □ 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERI  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPERI  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability  PRISONER PETITIONS  Habeas Corpus:  463 Alien Detainee  510 Motions to Vacate Sentence  530 General  535 Death Penalty Other:	710	5 Drug Related Scizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 1 Labor/Management Relations 1 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 1 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act 1 IMMIGRATION 1 Naturalization Application 2 Other Immigration Actions 1 Other Immigration Actions	422 Appe   423 With 28 U   423 With 28 U   424 With 28 U   425 With 28 U   425 With 28 U   425 With 28   425 Wit	RTY RIGHTS  rights  tt emark  SECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) 0 Title XVI 405(g))  AL TAX SUITS s (U.S. Plaintiff efendant)	□ 480 Consum □ 490 Cable/S: □ 850 Securitic Exchan  ■ 890 Other St □ 891 Agricult □ 893 Environ □ 895 Freedom Act □ 896 Arbitrati □ 899 Adminis	aims Act apportion t apportion t and Bankin cre tion er Influen Organiza er Credit at TV es/Comme ge atutory A ural Acts mental M of Infor on trative Pr trative Pr Decision tionality of	nment ng need and tions odities/ actions atters mation ocedure
	Cite the U.S. Civil St. Carmack Amend Brief description of c	Appellate Court atute under which you are ment, 49 U.S.C. § 14	706	ened Anothe (specify) o not cite jurisdictional state	r District utes unless div	☐ 6 Multidistri Litigation	ct		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION		MAND \$	C	HECK YES only i	f demanded in o	complair	nt:
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY		JUDGE Chief Judge	Sidney	Fitzwater (N.D. Te			<u> </u>		
DATE 06/12/2013		signature of atto /s/ John W. Gree	RNEY OF					_	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	IOUNT	A DDI VINICI IED		WTD CD		3110 mm	OF.		-